ROF TO

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Fink et M. Application No.: 04 /737. 595 Filed: **/2//6/2000** Mail Stop RCE

e application of: PMR of Solication No.: 99 1737. 595 Group No.: 3624
d: 12/18/2000 Examiner: Subramanian, N.
Method and System for Multi-Dimensional Trading

**Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114)

1. Applicant hereby requests continued examination, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.114, for the above identified application.

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 Request for continued examination:

"(a) If prosecution in an application is closed, an applicant may request continued examination of the application by filing a submission and the fee set forth in § 1.17(e) prior to the earliest of:

- (1) Payment of the issue fee, unless a petition under § 1.313 is granted;
- (2) Abandonment of the application; or
- (3) The filing of a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit under 35 U.S.C. 141, or the commencement of a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 145 or 146, unless the appeal or civil action is terminated.
- (b) Prosecution in an application is closed as used in this section means that the application is under appeal, or that the last Office action is a final action (§ 1.113), a notice of allowance (§ 1.311), or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application.

## CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10\*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

#### MAILING

deposited with the United States Postal Servi	ce in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O
Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a) with sufficient postage as first class mail.	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *  ☐ as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"  Mailing Label No (mandatory
т	RANSMISSION
☐ facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trad	emark Office, (703)
Date: 07/19/06	Daniel H. Golub
•	(type or print name of person certifying)

\* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 1 of 6)

07/25/2006 JBALINAN 00000094 500310 09737595 (c) A submission as used in this section includes, but is not limited to, an information disclosure statement, an amendment to the written description, claims, or drawings, new arguments, or new evidence in support of patentability. If reply to an Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 is outstanding, the submission must meet the reply requirements of § 1.111.

(d) If an applicant timely files a submission and fee set forth in § 1.17(e), the Office will withdraw the finality of any Office action and the submission will be entered and considered. If an applicant files a request for continued examination under this section after appeal, but prior to a decision on the appeal, it will be treated as a request to withdraw the appeal and to reopen prosecution of the application before the examiner. An appeal brief under § 1.192 or a reply brief under § 1.193(b), or related papers, will not be considered a submission under this section."

NOTE: An applicant may file a submission under 37 CFR 1.114 containing only an information disclosure statement (37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98) in an application subject to a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. § 151. An appeal brief or a reply brief (or related papers) will not be considered a submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See 37 CFR 1.114(d). The submission, however, may consist of the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief, or may simply consist of a statement that incorporates by reference the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief. In addition, a previously filed amendment after final may satisfy this submission requirement. American Inventor's Protection Act of 1999, Question & Answer A5.

NOTE: Even though an RCE is improper (e.g., because it was filed before the prosecution is closed), an amendment submitted with the RCE will still be entered and considered by the examiner since it was timely filed and responsive to the non-final Office action in compliance with 37 CFR 1.111. American Inventor's Protection Act of 1999, Question & Answer A4.

WARNING: 35 U.S.C. 132(b) and § 1.114 provide for the continued examination of an application and not examination of a continuing application). Accordingly, the Office will not permit an applicant to obtain continued examination on the basis of claims that are independent and distinct from the claims previously claimed and examined. Notice of March 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.

WARNING: The provisions of 37 CFR 1.114 also do not apply (1) to a provisional application; (2) an application for a utility or plant patent filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) before June 8, 1995; (3) an international application filed under 35 U.S.C. 363 before June 8, 1995; (4) a patent under reexamination or (5) an application for a design patent. 37 CFR § 1.114(e).

WARNING: The PTO has pointed out why § 1.97(b) does not provide that an information disclosure statement will be considered if it is filed within three months after the date of a request for continued examination under § 1.114. The PTO explained that since an RCE filing is a reply under 35 U.S.C. 132, the applicant may be entitled to patent term adjustment if the Office does not act on an application containing a request for continued examination under § 1.114 within four months. See 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(ii). Thus, the Office cannot delay action on RCE applications for three months to determine whether an information disclosure statement will be filed. The Office, however, is adopting provisions (§ 1.103(c)) for a limited suspension of action after the filing of a request for continued examination under § 1.114, for the applicant to obtain additional time (prior to the issuance of the next Office action) to provide an information disclosure statement (or amendments, or an affidavit or declaration) after the filing of the RCE. See, Notice of August 16, 2000, "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice; Final Rule", 65Fed. Reg., pages 50091–50105, at page 50100 (comment 11); OG: September 5, 2000, pages 13–24

WARNING: One of the time periods excluded from patent term adjustment is the time consumed by a continued examination request under 35 U.S.C. 132(b) (§ 1.114(b) (1)).

**WARNING:** 

The Office will not suspend action in an application when a reply by the applicant is outstanding. 35 U.S.C. 133 requires an applicant to "prosecute the application" within six months of an Office action (or a shorter period as set in the Office action) to avoid abandonment of the application. If an applicant files a request for continued examination but does not also provide any submission (in reply to the prior Office action) within the period for reply to the prior Office action, the application is abandoned by operation of law (35 U.S.C. 133).

The Office will treat a request for continued examination under § 1.114 containing a bona fide submission that is not fully responsive to the prior Office action under the practice set forth in § 1.135(c). In addition, under the limited suspension of action provisions of § 1.103(c), an applicant must still file a request for continued examination practice in compliance with § 1.114, but may obtain additional time (prior to the issuance of the next Office action) to provide an information disclosure statement, amendments, or an affidavit or declaration after the filing of the request for continued examination.

See, Notice of August 16, 2000, "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice; Final Rule", 65Fed. Reg., pages 50091–50105, at page 50102 (comment 20); OG: September 5, 2000, pages 13–24] Page 50102

WARNING: Section 1.97(b) does not provide that an information disclosure statement will be considered if it is filed within three months after the date of a request for continued examination under § 1.114.

NOTE: There is no limit to the number of times the fee for continued examination may be submitted. Notice of March 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.

NOTE: Unlike a continuation application, a continued examination request can utilize the mailing procedure of 37 CFR 1.8. See 37 CFR § 1.8(a)(2)(i)(A).

Continued Prosecution Request Fee \$ 395.00

#### TIME REQUEST IS BEING MADE

2. Th	is req	uest is being submitted (check appropriate item(s) below):			
i	i X Prior to abandonment of the application				
ii.	<u></u> ₽	ayment of the issue fee			
		Prior to payment of issue fee			
		Issue fee has been paid but a petition under § 1.313 has been granted			
iii.	□ P	rior to a decision on appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences			
		A notice is being separately sent to the Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences that this Request for Continued Examination is being filed.			
NOTE	: If suc	ch a notice is not sent to the Board then may refuse to vacate a decision rendered after the filing e RCE but before recognition by the Office of the RCE request under § 1.114.			
iv.	□ A	ppeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals of the Federal Circuit under 35 U.S.C. 145			
		Prior to the filing of such appeal or commencement of civil action			
		Such appeal or commencement of civil action has been terminated			
		ENCLOSURES			
		herewith is/are:			
WARI	NING:	If reply to a final or non-final Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 is outstanding, the submission must meet the reply requirements of § 1.111. 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(b).			
	An inf	ormation disclosure (37 C.F.R. § 1.98)			
		orm PTO-1449 (PTO/SB/08A and 08B)			
X	An an	nendment			
	New a	arguments			
	New (	evidence in support of patentability			
	Other				

Continued Prosecution Request Fee \$ \_\_\_\_\_

## FEE FOR REQUEST (37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e)).

4. This	s application								
Ì	Small en	tity (and s	status is still a	as small er	ntity)				395.00
Ĺ	☐ Other tha	an a smal	ll entity					9	\$790.00
			EEE EO	R CLAIM	I <b>C</b>				
NOTE:	(cf. 1.53 (d)(3)	(ii))." See No	kamination under otice of March 10	), 2000, 65 F	ed Reg 14	1865, at 14	1868.		
			ling fee for a cont		ution appli	cation filed	d unde	r this par	agraph is:
			as set forth in § 1						
	of any amer any amendr	ndment acc ments unde	ee due based on to companying the re or § 1.116 unente continued prosecut	quest for an red in the pri	application or applicat	n under thi	is para	igraph an	d entry of
<b>5.</b> The	e fee for clair	ns (37 C.	.F.R. § 1.16(b)	)-(d)) has t	een cal	culated a	as sh	own be	low:
	(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	SMALL	ENTITY			THAN A ENTITY
-	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	RATE	ADDIT. FEE	OR	RATE	ADDIT. FEE
TOTAL	. 6	MINUS	27	=	×\$25=	\$ -		×\$50=	\$
INDEP.	· 2	MINUS	6	=	×\$100=	\$ _	-	×\$200 =	\$
FIRST	PRESENTATION	OF MULT	IPLE DEP. CLAIM		+\$180=	\$ _		+ \$360 =	\$
<del></del>				AD	TOTAL DIT. FEE	\$	OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE \$	
** if *** if Ti be	the "Highest No the "Highest No he "Highest No.	<ul><li>p. Previously</li><li>p. Previously</li><li>prior amer</li></ul>	than entry in Col. y Paid for" IN TH y Paid For" IN TH Paid For" (Total ondment or the nu 16.	IS SPACE is IIS SPACE is or Indep.) is t	less than less than the highes	3, enter " number f	3."		propriate
			complete (a) o	r (h) as ar	onlicable	)			
(a) ]	No additi			, (D), GO GF	,p.,,oa.o.,				
	,			OR					
(b) [	☐ Total add	litional fe	e required is \$	<b>.</b>		<del>•</del>			
		4D	Ann Combinued Ex	omination (D	CE) (37 C	ED & 11	1 <i>4</i> ) <b>[9</b>	<b>-641</b> na	ne 4 of 6)

(If an extension of time is appropriate complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

	proceedings herein are .136(a) apply.	for a patent applicatio	n, and the provisior	ns of 37 C.F.R
	37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) "an to conclude processing or exin excess of three months that objection, argument, or other or action was mailed or given to shall be reduced by the number after the date of mailing or to rejection, objection, argument or shortened statutory period three-month period set forth	amination of an application for are taken to reply to any notice request, measuring such the othe applicant, in which case er of days, if any, beginning of ransmission of the Office co or other request and ending for reply that is set in the Office the office in the Office the Office co	r the cumulative total of a e or action by the Office m ree-month period from t the period of adjustment in the day after the date the mmunication notifying the on the date the reply wa Office action or notice ha	any periods of time aking any rejection he date the notice set forth in § 1.703 hat is three months he applicant of the is filed. The period as no effect on the
(a) [	Applicant petitions for 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)	or an extension of time (-(4), for the total numb	, the fees for which per of months check	ed below:
Ex	tension for	Fee for other than	Fee for	
	(months)	small entity	small entity	
	one month	\$ 120.00	\$ 60.00	
	two months	\$ 450.00	\$ 225.00	
_	three months	\$ 1,020.00 \$ 1,500.00	\$ 510.00 \$ 795.00	
L	four months	\$ 1,590.00	φ 195.00	
		Fee:	\$	
lf an	additional extension of t	me is required, please	consider this a pet	ition therefor.
		complete the next item		
	paid therefor of	months has a state of extension now it	s deducted from the	ed, and the fee e total fee due
		Extension fee du	e with this request	\$
		OR		
(p) 🔀	Applicant believes the conditional petition at the possibility that appared and fee for extension	nat no extension of ting and authorization to pay plicant has inadvertently of time.	ne is required. How the necessary fees y overlooked the nee	vever, this is a to provide for a petition
		TOTAL FEE(S) DU	E	
WARNI	NG: The fee for continued ex	amination under § 1.114 ma	y not be deferred. 37 C.	.F.R. § 1.53(f).
<b>7.</b> The	total fee(s) due is/are:			26.5
	Continued Prosecution Fe	ee (§ 1.17(e))		\$ 395.1
	ee(s) for additional claim		)	\$
	extension of time fee (if a	•	-	\$
-	ACCIONATION OF MINO 100 (III C		Total Fee(s) Due	\$ 395.00
	(Request for	Continued Examination (RCI	* *	-64]page 5 of 6

### PAYMENT OF FEE(S) DUE

Please	Check is attached for the such arge Account 50-03/4 Charge Credit Card the sum (Credit Card Payment Form charge any required addit 1)-(4) to 50-03/0	the sum of	\$ <u>395.00</u> \$
u		/ENTORSHIP	,
NOTE: A	ny change of inventors must be via t 0, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 1486	the procedure set forth in 37 CFR § 1.48. 8.	See Notice of March
	pplication as amended name		
_		ously designated for the claims.	
	fewer than the inventors pre	eviously designated and a statemon	ent accompanies n or persons who
		usly as an inventor and a petition	under 37 C.F.R.
	DEFERRA	L OF EXAMINATION	
	A request for deferral of example among the control of the control	mination accompanies this reque	st for continued
Reg. No.:	33,701	SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER	
Tel. No.: (	215 1963-5055	(type or print name of practitioner)	ret
Customer	No.:	P.O. Address Philadelphia	PA 19103

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 6 of 6)